

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Leonid Alexeevich Polyakov U 012959-5 1196 09/22/2000 09/667,282 10/21/2002 Ladas & Parry **EXAMINER** 26 West 61st Street GILL, ERIN M New York, NY 10023 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Office Action Summary Examiner Erin-Michael Gill - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence at Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	ely.
Office Action Summary Examiner Erin-Michael Gill - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence a Period for Reply	ely.
Erin-Michael Gill 2881 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence a Period for Reply	ely.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence a Period for Reply	ely.
Period for Reply	ely.
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	iely. communication.
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2000.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to to closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 February 2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examine	er.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Exam	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	al Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	P (2.12)
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	nal application).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6.7. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informat Patent Application (FO) Other:	

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: Page 3 - line 10 "is" should be "of", lines 11-14 are unintelligible, lines 14-19 are unintelligible, line 19 should read "in the process", line 21 "being" should be "are", line 21 should read "in a static", line 21 should read "on the mass", line 22 should read "of the isotopes". This is not a compete list of grammatical errors on page three. A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: In the figure included with the February 8, 2001 pre-amendment, reference number 11 and the element it designates are not described. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 09/667,282

Art Unit: 2881

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim is generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. It appears to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and is replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sasao et al. US Patent No. 5110562 (hereafter Sasao). Taken as a whole this document teaches all of the applicants limitations; however focusing specifically on col. 6 lines 45-49, Sasao teaches the creation of a Palladium vapor from a Palladium crucible heated to 1850 Kelvin. Subtracting 273.16 to convert Kelvin to Celsius, this is temperature is equal to 1576.84 Celsius, which is within the claimed 1500 C –1700 C range. Sasao then teaches this vapor is collimated into a beam of ions. Note is made that exciting isotopes of Palladium into the first or second excited state (Sasao abstract) is equivalent to creating Palladium ions. It is inherent to the process of Sasao that reaction products are not formed in the process, and are thus not assumed to be present.

Art Unit: 2881

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erin-Michael Gill whose telephone number is 703-305-7858. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00 EST).

- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on 703-308-4116. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.
- 9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

EMG

October 1, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800